arXiv:1511.01025v1 [math.CO] 3 Nov 2015

Color-line and Proper Color-line Graphs

Van Bang Le[1] and Florian Pfender[2]

[1] Universität Rostock, Institut für Informatik, 18051 Rostock, Germany
van-bang.le@uni-rostock.de
[2] University of Colorado Denver, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Denver, CO 80202, USA
florian.pfender@ucdenver.edu

Abstract. Motivated by investigations of rainbow matchings in edge colored graphs, we introduce the notion of color-line graphs that generalizes the classical concept of line graphs in a natural way. Let H be a (properly) edge-colored graph. The (*proper*) *color-line graph* $CL(H)$ of H has edges of H as vertices, and two edges of H are adjacent in $CL(H)$ if they are incident in H or have the same color. We give Krausz-type characterizations for (proper) color-line graphs, and point out that, for any fixed $k \geq 2$, recognizing if a graph is the color-line graph of some graph H in which the edges are colored with at most k colors is NP-complete. In contrast, we show that, for any fixed k, recognizing color-line graphs of properly edge colored graphs H with at most k colors is polynomially. Moreover, we give a good characterization for proper 2-color line graphs that yields a linear time recognition algorithm in this case.

Keywords: Line graph; color-line graph.

2010 MSC: 05C75, 05C76, 05C85, 68R05, 68R10.

1 Introduction

The *line graph* $L(H)$ of a graph H has vertex set $E(H)$. Two vertices in $L(H)$ are adjacent if the corresponding edges in H are incident. A graph G is called a line graph if there there exists a graph H such that G is isomorphic to $L(H)$, we call the graph H a root graph of G. The notion of line graphs was first introduced by Whitney [13] in 1932. Line graphs form a very basic graph class in graph theory (especially, one of the five basic classes of perfect graphs is the class of line graphs of bipartite graphs; cf. [2]) and are wildly investigated. See the surveys [3, 9] for more information on line graphs.

Among others, the following three characterizations of line graphs are well-known. (An *odd triangle* T in a graph is a K_3 such that some vertex has an odd number of neighbors in T).

Theorem 1. *The following statements are equivalent for every graph G:*

(A) *G is a line graph.*
(B) (Krausz [5]) *There exists a family of cliques $\mathcal{Q}$ of G such that every vertex of G belongs to at most two members in $\mathcal{Q}$ and every edge of G belongs to exactly one member in $\mathcal{Q}$.*
(C) (van Rooij & Wilf [12]) *G is claw-free and very two odd triangles having a common edge belong to a K_4.*
(D) (Beineke [1]) *G does not contain any of the nine graphs depicted in Fig. 1 as an induced subgraph.*



Fig. 1. Forbidden induced subgraphs for line graphs.

Each of the characterizations (C) and (D) in Theorem 1 implies that line graphs can be recognized in polynomial time. In fact, the following stronger result is also well-known.

Theorem 2 (Roussopoulos [11], Lehot [8]). *Line graphs can be recognized in linear time. Moreover, if G is a line graph, a root graph H with $G = L(H)$ can be found in linear time, too.*

Line graphs are also interesting and useful as they relate questions about edges with questions about vertices. By definition, for instance, a matching in H corresponds to an independent vertex set (of the same size) in $L(H)$, and vice versa.

Given a graph $H = (V(H), E(H))$, an edge coloring is a function $\phi : E(H) \to \mathcal{C}$ mapping each edge $e \in E(H)$ to a color $\phi(e) \in \mathcal{C}$; ϕ is a *proper* edge-coloring if, for all distinct edges e and e', $\phi(e) \neq \phi(e')$ whenever e and e' have an endvertex in common. A (properly) edge-colored graph (H, ϕ) is a pair of a graph together with a (proper) edge coloring. A *rainbow subgraph* of an edge-colored graph is a subgraph whose

edges have distinct colors. Rainbow subgraphs appear frequently in the literature, for a recent survey we point to [4].

The following notion is motivated by investigations on rainbow matchings (see [6]).

Definition 1. *Let H be a (properly) edge colored graph. The (*proper*)* color-line graph *$CL(H)$ of H has the edge set $E(H)$ as its vertex set and two vertices in $CL(H)$ are adjacent if the corresponding edges in H are incident or have the same color. A graph G is a (*proper*)* color-line graph *if there exists a (properly) edge colored graph H such that G is isomorphic to $CL(H)$.*

See Figure 2 for an example. By definition, rainbow matchings in H correspond to independent vertex sets in $CL(H)$, and vice versa.

In Section 2 we discuss properties of color-line graphs and proper color-line graphs. In particular we give Krausz-type characterizations for color-line and proper color-line graphs. In Sections 3 and 4 we discuss the recognition problem for (proper) k-color-line graphs. These are color-line graphs of (properly) edge colored graphs with k colors. We show that, for any fixed $k \geq 2$, recognizing if a graph is a k-color-line graph is NP-complete, while recognizing if a graph is a proper k-color-line graph is polynomially. In Section 5 we show that proper 2-color-line graphs admit a characterization by forbidden induced subgraphs and can be recognized in linear time. Section 6 lists some open problems for further research.

Definitions and notation In a graph, a set of vertices is a *clique*, respectively, an *independent set* if every pair, respectively, no pair of vertices in this set is adjacent. The complete graph with n vertices is denoted by K_n; K_3 is also called a *triangle*, and $K_n - e$ is the graph obtained from K_n by deleting an edge. The path and cycle with n vertices are denoted by P_n and C_n, respectively. We use $K_{p,q}$ to denote the complete bipartite graph with p vertices of one color class and q vertices of the second color class. A *biclique* is a $K_{p,q}$ for some p, q, and a *q-star* is a $K_{1,q}$; the 3-star $K_{1,3}$ is also called a *claw*.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For a vertex $v \in V$ we write $N(v)$ for the set of its neighbors in G. A *universal* vertex v is one such that $N(v) \cup \{v\} = V$. An *r-regular graph* is one in which each vertex has degree exactly r.

For a subset $U \subseteq V$ we write $G[U]$ for the subgraph of G induced by U and $G - U$ for the graph $G[V - U]$; for a vertex v we write $G - v$ rather than $G[V \setminus \{v\}]$. For a subset $F \subseteq E(G)$, $G \setminus F$ is the spanning subgraph of G obtained from G by deleting all edges in F; for an edge e

we write $G-e$ rather than $G\setminus\{e\}$. Sometimes we identify a set of vertices $A \subseteq V(G)$ with the subgraph induced by A. Thus, $E(A)$ means $E(G[A])$ and, for an edge e, $e \in A$ means $e \in E(A)$. A set of vertices is *nontrivial* if it contains at least two vertices.

2 Color-line Graphs and Proper Color-line Graphs

In this section we discuss some basic properties of color-line and proper color-line graphs. Among other things we give Krausz-type characterizations for these graphs.

The following basic facts follow immediately:

1. For any edge-colored graph H, $L(H)$ is a spanning subgraph of $CL(H)$.
2. Every line graph is a proper color-line graph (consider an edge coloring of a root graph H in which each edge has its own color).
3. Induced subgraphs of a (proper) color-line graph are again (proper) color-line graphs.
4. If H' is a subgraph of H then $CL(H')$ is an induced subgraph of $CL(H)$.
5. G is a (proper) line-color graph if and only if each component of G is a (proper) line-color graph.

Theorem 3 (Krausz-type characterization for color-line graphs). *A graph G is a color-line graph if and only if there exists a family of cliques $\mathcal{Q}$ in G such that*

(a) *every vertex of G belongs to at most three members in $\mathcal{Q}$, and every edge of G belongs to at least one and at most two members in $\mathcal{Q}$,*

(b) *there are two mappings $F \to \mathcal{Q}$, $e \mapsto Q_e$, and $W \to \mathcal{Q}$, $v \mapsto Q_v$, where $F \subseteq E(G)$ and $W \subseteq V(G)$ is the set of all edges belonging to exactly two members, respectively, the set of all vertices belonging to exactly three members in $\mathcal{Q}$, such that*

  (b1) *for all $x \in F \cup W$, $x \in Q_x$, and*

  (b2) *for all $x, y \in F \cup W$, if $x \in Q_y$ then $Q_x = Q_y$ and if $x \notin Q_y$ then $Q_x \cap Q_y = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Necessity: Let $G = CL(H)$ with respect to an (arbitrary) edge coloring ϕ of H. Then, for each vertex $v = ab \in E(H) = V(G)$, each of the three sets

$$Q_v = \{e \in E(H) \mid \phi(e) = \phi(ab)\},$$
$$Q_a = \{e \in E(H) \mid a \in e\}, \text{ and}$$
$$Q_b = \{e \in E(H) \mid b \in e\},$$

is a clique in G. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ consist of all such nontrivial cliques. Then, clearly, $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfies (a). Moreover,

$$W = \{v \in V(G) \mid v = ab \in E(H),\ Q_v, Q_a, \text{ and } Q_b \text{ are nontrivial}\}$$

is the set of all vertices of G belonging to exactly three members in $\mathcal{Q}$, and

$$F = \{uv \in E(G) \mid u = ab, v = bc \in E(H), \phi(ab) = \phi(bc)\}$$

is the set of all edges of G belonging to exactly two members in $\mathcal{Q}$, namely $Q_u = Q_v$ and Q_b; note that u and v need not to belong to W. Further, the mappings

$$v \mapsto Q_v,\ v \in W,$$

and

$$e \mapsto Q_e := Q_u = Q_v,\ e = uv \in F,$$

clearly satisfies (b).

Sufficiency: Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a family of cliques in G satisfy the conditions (a) and (b). Write

$$G' = G \setminus \{e \in Q_x \mid e \text{ is only in } Q_x \text{ for some } x \in F \cup W\},$$
$$\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{Q_x \mid x \in F \cup W\}.$$

Then each member of $\mathcal{Q}'$ is a clique in G'. Moreover, each edge of G' is in exactly one member of $\mathcal{Q}'$ and each vertex of G' is in at most two members in $\mathcal{Q}'$ (by (a) and (b1)).

By Theorem 1 (B), $G' = L(H)$ for some graph H. Consider the following edge coloring ϕ of H; note that $V(G') = V(G) = E(H)$.

- For each $x \in F \cup W$, all edges of H corresponding to vertices of Q_x have the same color; different colors by different Q_x's.
- Each other edge of H has its own color.

By (b2), ϕ is well-defined. Moreover, with respect to ϕ, $G = CL(H)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1e_2 \in E(G) &\Leftrightarrow e_1e_2 \in E(G') = E(L(H)) \text{ or } e_1e_2 \in Q_x \text{ for some } x \in F \cup W \\ &\Leftrightarrow e_1 \text{ and } e_2 \text{ are incident in } H \text{ or } \phi(e_1) = \phi(e_2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow e_1e_2 \in E(CL(H)) \end{aligned}$$

□

As an example, the graph G depicted in Figure 2 on the left side has a family $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3, consisting of the cliques $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, $\{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$, $\{1,8\}$, $\{1,9\}$, $\{7,8\}$ and $\{7,9\}$, with $F = \{23,24,25,26,34,35,36,45,46,56\}$, $W = \{1,7\}$ and $Q_1 = \{1,8\}$, $Q_7 = \{2,3,4,5,6,7\} = Q_e$ for all $e \in F$.

The graph H constructed in the proof of Theorem 3 is depicted on the right; the edges of H are colored with three colors as indicated.



Fig. 2. An example illustrating Theorem 3: The graph G (left) and a color-line root graph H (right)

Remark 1. In case $F = W = \emptyset$, Theorem 3 is precisely Krausz's characterization for line graphs (Theorem 1 (B)).

Remark 2. Theorem 3 implies that $K_{1,4}$ is the smallest graph that is not a color-line graph. Thus, color-line graphs are $K_{1,4}$-free.

Moreover, not every co-bipartite graph, hence not every claw-free graph, is a color-line graph. The complement of $6K_2$ is such an example.

Recall that a *proper color-line graph* is a color-line graph $G = CL(H)$ of some *properly* edge-colored graph H. Clearly, proper color-line graphs are color-line graphs (but not the converse).

In the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 3, the set F is empty in case the edge coloring ϕ of H is proper. Hence Theorem 3 can be stated in a slightly simpler form for proper color-line graphs as follows.

Theorem 4 (Krausz-type characterization for proper color-line graphs). *A graph G is a proper color-line graph if and only if there exists a family of cliques $\mathcal{Q}$ in G such that*

(a) *every vertex of G belongs to at most three members, and every edge of G belongs to exactly one member in $\mathcal{Q}$,*
(b) *there is a mapping $W \to \mathcal{Q}$, $v \mapsto Q_v$, where $W \subseteq V(G)$ is the set of all vertices belonging to exactly three members in $\mathcal{Q}$, such that*
  (b1) *$v \in Q_v$, and*
  (b2) *for all $u, v \in W$, if $u \in Q_v$ then $Q_u = Q_v$ and if $u \notin Q_v$ then $Q_u \cap Q_v = \emptyset$.*

Proof. It remains to discuss the sufficiency part in the proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a family of cliques in G satisfy the conditions (a) and (b), and define

$$G' = G \setminus \{e \in Q_x \mid x \in W\},$$
$$\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{Q_x \mid x \in W\}.$$

Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3, $G' = L(H)$ for some graph H. Moreover, the edge coloring ϕ of H defined there is proper because now, for each $v \in W$, the edges in H correspondng to vertices in Q_v form a matching in H. □

Again, in case $W = \emptyset$, Theorem 4 is precisely Krausz's characterization for line graphs (Theorem 1 (B)).

The family $\mathcal{Q}$ in Theorem 4 is a certain edge clique partition of G. Proper color-line graphs also admit a characterization in terms of a certain vertex clique partition as follows. Let ϕ be an edge coloring of a graph H. An edge xy of $CL(H)$ is an L-edge if the corresponding edges x and y in H are incident and is a C-edge if $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. In general, an edge in $CL(H)$ may be an L-edge and a C-edge at the same time (these edges form the set F in Theorem 3). The difference between $CL(H)$ and $L(H)$ in case where the edge coloring ϕ of H is proper is that, in $CL(H)$, the set of all L-edges is disjoint from the set of all C-edges. The following characterization of proper color-line graphs is basically this fact.

Theorem 5. *A graph G is a proper color-line graph if and only if G admits a vertex clique partition $\mathcal{C}$, $V(G) = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} Q$, such that the graph $G \setminus \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} E(Q)\right)$ is a line graph.*

Proof. Necessity: Let $G = CL(H)$ with respect to a proper edge coloring ϕ of H. Then, for each color c used by ϕ,

$$Q_c = \{e \in E(H) \mid \phi(e) = c\}$$

is a clique in G, and $\mathcal{C} = \{Q_c \mid \text{color } c \text{ used by } \phi\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$ into cliques Q_c. As ϕ is a proper coloring, each Q_c is a matching in H. Hence, by definition of $CL(H)$ and $L(H)$, $G \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} E(Q)$ is the line graph $L(H)$.

Sufficiency: Let G have a clique partition $V(G) = Q_1 \cup \ldots \cup Q_r$ such that $G' = G \setminus \bigcup_i E(Q_i)$ is the line graph $L(H')$ for some graph H'. For each i, color all edges of H' corresponding to vertices in Q_i with the same color i. Note that this edge coloring of H' is well defined (as each vertex of G belongs to exactly one Q_i) and proper (as, for each i, Q_i is an independent set in G', hence edges corresponding to vertices in Q_i form a matching in H'). Letting H denote the obtained edge-colored graph, $G = CL(H)$. □

As an example, consider the graph $G = K_6 - e$ with vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_6$, and v_1 and v_6 are the two non-adjacent vertices. Then the edge clique partition $\mathcal{Q}$ consisting of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $\{v_1, v_4, v_5\}$, $\{v_3, v_4, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_5, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_4\}$ and $\{v_3, v_5\}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4, and the vertex clique partition $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{v_4, v_5\}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5. Both $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ give the proper color-line root graph $H = K_4$, properly colored with three colors, for G.

Recall that line graphs are proper color-line graphs (this follows also from Theorem 5 by considering the vertex clique partition consisting of all one-vertex cliques). Another example is the following:

Corollary 1. *Cubic graphs without bridges are proper color-line graphs.*

Proof. By a classical theorem of Petersen [7], any bridgeless 3-regular graph G contains a perfect matching M. Then, $G \setminus M$ is 2-regular and thus a line graph. □

Corollary 1 is best possible the following sense: Not every (sub-) cubic graph is a color-line graph. An example is depicted in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. A subcubic graph that is not a color-line graph.

Proposition 1. *$K_7 - e$ is not a proper color-line graph.*

Proof. By case analysis, using Theorem 5, it can be shown that, for any vertex clique partition $\mathcal{C}$ of $G = K_7 - e$, $G \setminus \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} E(Q)\right)$ is not a line graph (it contains an induced claw or an induced $K_5 - e$). □

Since a graph may have exponentially many cliques, it is not clear how the characterizations given in Theorems 3, 4 and 5 could imply polynomial time recognition algorithms for color-line graphs and proper color-line graphs. Thus, the computational complexity of the following problems is still open.

COLOR-LINE GRAPH
Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$.
Question: Is G a color-line graph, i.e., is $G = CL(H)$ for some edge colored graph H?

PROPER COLOR-LINE GRAPH
Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$.
Question: Is G a proper color-line graph, i.e., is $G = CL(H)$ for some properly edge colored graph H?

A first natural step in studying the complexity of COLOR-LINE GRAPH and of PROPER COLOR-LINE GRAPH is to specify the number of colors in the (proper) color-line root graph. This leads to the notion of (proper) k-color-line graphs which we will address in the next sections.

3 Recognizing *k*-Color-line Graphs is Hard

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A graph G is said to be a (*proper*) *k-color-line graph* if G is (isomorphic to) the color-line graph of some (*properly*) *edge k-colored graph H*. For example, the graph G depicted in Figure 2 is a 3-color-line graph and $K_6 - e$ is a proper 3-color-line graph (cf. example after the proof of Theorem 5).

By definition, 1-color-line graphs are precisely the complete graphs. We are going to point out that, for any fixed $k \geq 2$, the decision problem

k-COLOR-LINE GRAPH
Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$.
Question: Is G a k-color-line graph, i.e., is $G = CL(H)$ for some edge k-colored graph H?

is NP-complete.

First, the following observation allows us to consider the case $k = 2$ only; the graph $G + K_1$ is obtained from G by adding a new (isolated) vertex.

Observation 1 *G is a (proper) k-color-line graph if and only if $G + K_1$ is a (proper) $k + 1$-color-line graph.*

Proof. Let v be the new vertex in $G + K_1$. Suppose $G = CL(H)$ with an edge coloring ϕ of H. Then $G + K_1 = CL(H')$, where H' is obtained from H by adding a new (isolated) edge e and extending ϕ to ϕ' by coloring e with a new color. Clearly, if ϕ is a (proper) k-coloring, then ϕ' is a (proper) $(k + 1)$-coloring. Conversely, suppose $G + K_1 = CL(H)$ with an edge coloring ϕ of H, and let e be the edge in H corresponding to the vertex v in $G + K_1$. Then e is an isolated edge in H and $\phi(e)$ occurs only on e. Thus $G = CL(H')$, where $H' = H \setminus \{e\}$ with the restriction ϕ' of ϕ on $E(H) \setminus \{e\}$. Clearly, if ϕ is a (proper) $(k + 1)$-coloring, then ϕ' is a (proper) k-coloring. □

Theorem 6. *For any fixed $k \geq 2$, k-*COLOR-LINE GRAPH *is NP-complete.*

Proof. Note that k-COLOR-LINE GRAPH is obviously in NP. By Observation 1 it is enough to see that recognizing 2-color-line graphs is NP-complete. To see this, we reduce LINE BIGRAPH to 2-COLOR-LINE GRAPH. Let $H_i = (V, E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be two graphs with the same vertex set. The bipartite graph B with the disjoint union $E_1 \cup E_2$ as vertex set and two vertices $e_1 \in E_1$, $e_2 \in E_2$ are adjacent in B if and only if $e_1 \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset$ is called the *line bigraph* of H_1 and H_2. In [10] it is shown that LINE BIGRAPH, that is to decide if a given bipartite graph is the line bigraph of some graphs H_1 and H_2, is NP-complete, and LINE BIGRAPH remains NP-complete in case $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$.

Now, given a bipartite graph $B = (X \cup Y, E)$, let G be obtained from B by completing each X and Y to a clique and by adding two new vertices x and y and adding all edges between x and vertices in X and between y and vertices in Y. We claim that B is the line bigraph of two graphs $H_i = (V, E_i)$ with $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ if and only if G is a 2-color-line graph.

Indeed, let B be the line bigraph of two graphs H_i with $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. Then let H be obtained from H_1 and H_2 by adding two new isolated edges e_x and e_y and coloring all edges in $E_1 \cup \{e_x\}$ with one color and all edges in $E_2 \cup \{e_y\}$ with the second color. Obviously, G is (isomorphic to) the 2-color-line graph $CL(H)$ of H.

Conversely, suppose $G = CL(H)$ for some edge 2-colored graph H. By construction, G has a unique vertex partition into two cliques. This

partition must coincide with the 2-coloring of the edges in H, say $E(H) = E_1 \cup E_2$. We may assume by symmetry that $e_x \in E_1$ and $e_y \in E_2$, where e_x and e_y are the root edges corresponding to x and y, respectively. Thus, B is the line bigraph of $H_1 = (V(H), E_1 - e_x)$ and $H_2 = (V(H), E_2 - e_y)$. □

4 Recognizing Proper k-Color-line Graphs

In contrast to the hardness of recognizing k-color-line graphs, we show in this section that, for any fixed k, recognizing proper k-color-line graphs is polynomial.

Our approach is based on Theorem 5, which can be reformulated for proper k-color-line graphs as follows.

Theorem 7. *A graph G is a proper k color-line graph if and only if G admits a vertex clique partition $V(G) = Q_1 \cup \ldots \cup Q_k$, such that the graph $G \setminus \left(\bigcup_{1 \le i \le k} E(Q_i)\right)$ is a line graph.*

The key fact in our recognition algorithm is that big enough cliques in a proper k-color-line graph must appear in any clique partition as in Theorem 7. More precisely, we show:

Lemma 1. *Suppose G is a proper k-color-line graph, and let Q be a maximal clique in G with at least $k+4$ vertices. Then $G - Q$ is a proper $(k-1)$-color-line graph.*

Moreover, if $V(G) = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \ldots \cup Q_k$ is an arbitrary vertex clique partition of a graph G as in Theorem 7, then Q is one of the cliques Q_is.

Proof. Let $G = CL(H)$ for some graph H with a proper edge k-coloring ϕ. Write $Q = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ with $s = |Q| \ge k+4$. To simplify notation, we will also denote the corresponding Q-edges in H by v_i. Note that for $i \ne j$, v_i and v_j have a common vertex in H (and then $\phi(v_i) \ne \phi(v_j)$), or else $\phi(v_i) = \phi(v_j)$. Hence, in H, if v_i and v_j have a common vertex, then any v_k is disjoint from at most one of v_i, v_j.

Now assume that two edges v_i and v_j have a common vertex v in H. Since H has at most k edges at v, at least 4 of the Q-edges do not contain v. Let v_k be one such edge. Then either

1. $v_k \cap v_i \ne \emptyset$ and $v_k \cap v_j \ne \emptyset$, or
2. $v_k \cap v_i \ne \emptyset$ and $\phi(v_k) = \phi(v_j)$, or
3. $v_k \cap v_j \ne \emptyset$ and $\phi(v_k) = \phi(v_i)$.

As there can be at most one edge of each of these three types, we reach a contradiction.

Thus, no two of the Q-edges have a common vertex in H. Therefore, all Q-edges must form a color class of ϕ. Hence $G - Q = CL(H')$, where H' is obtained from H by deleting all Q-edges, i.e., $G - Q$ is a proper $(k-1)$-color-line graph.

For the second part, let $V(G) = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \ldots \cup Q_k$ be a vertex clique partition of G such that $G \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} E(Q_i) = L(H)$. Let ϕ be the proper edge coloring of H as in the proof of Theorem 5: For each i, color all edges of H corresponding to vertices in Q_i with the same color i. Then $G = CL(H)$ with respect to ϕ, and the Q_i-edges in H form the color classes of ϕ. Now, as we have seen in the first part, the Q-edges form a color class in H, hence Q must be one of the Q_is. □

Note that $k+4$ in the previous Lemma is only sharp for $k = 3$. For other values of k slightly better bounds can be achieved, but we skip this step for the sake of better readability.

Now proper k-color-line graphs can be recognized as follow: Suppose that a given graph G is a proper k-color-line graph. If G has a maximal clique Q with at least $k+4$ vertices, then, by Lemma 1, $G-Q$ is a proper $(k-1)$-color-line graph and we can reduce the problem to recognizing proper $(k-1)$ color-line graphs by using Lemma 1 again. If G is K_{k+4}-free, then, by Theorem 7, G can have at most $k \cdot (k+3)$ vertices and we may check all possible $O(k^{k \cdot (k+3)})$ (which is a constant provided k is fixed) vertex partitions into at most k cliques of G if one satisfies the condition in Theorem 7. Algorithm 1 gives a more detailed description.

As discussed above, the correctness of Algorithm 1 follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 7.

Let us roughly determine the running time of the algorithm. The while-loop will be terminated after at most k rounds. Each round of the while-loop, the running time is dominated by line 3, which can be done in time $O(|V(R)|^{k-s+4}(|V(R)| + |E(R)|))$. Thus, the while-loop needs at most $k \cdot O(n^{k+4}(n+m))$ time in total. At line 14, $|V(R)| \leq (k-s)(k-s+3)$. Hence R has at most $(t-s)^{(k-s)(k-s+3)}$ vertex partitions into $t - s \leq k$, cliques. Thus, we have at most $O(k^{k(k+3)})$ partitions $V(R) = Q_{s+1} \cup \ldots \cup Q_t$ for checking if $G \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} E(Q_i) = L(H)$, each of these tests can be done in $O(n+m)$ time by Theorem 2.

In summary, we conclude

Theorem 8. *For fixed k, recognizing if an n-vertex m-edge graph G is a proper k-color-line graph can be done in time $O(n^{k+4}(n+m))$. Moreover,*

Algorithm 1: Recognizing proper k-color-line graphs

Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$
Output: A graph H with a proper edge k-coloring such that $G = CL(H)$, or 'NO' if G is not a proper k-color-line graph

$s := 0$; $R := G$
while $(s < k)$ *and* R *has a* K_{k-s+4} **do**
  compute a maximal clique Q in R with at least $k - s + 4$ vertices
  $s := s + 1$
  $Q_s := Q$
  $R := R - Q_s$
end
if $|V(R)| > (k - s)(k - s + 3)$ **then**
  return 'NO'
end
if $|V(R)| = 0$ **then**
  $t := s$
end
if R *has a vertex clique partition* $V(R) = Q_{s+1} \cup \ldots \cup Q_t$, *for some* $s \leq t \leq k$, *such that* $G \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} E(Q_i) = L(H)$ *for some graph* H **then**
  color all edges of H corresponding to vertices in Q_i with the same color i, $1 \leq i \leq t$
  return H
else
  return 'NO'
end

if G is a proper k-color-line graph, then a properly edge k-colored root graph H of G can be found in the same time complexity.

5 Proper 2-color-line Graphs

We show in this section that proper 2-color-line graphs admit a good characterization that allows us to recognize them in linear time. We first describe proper 2-color-line graphs as follow.

Proposition 2. *The following statements are equivalent for any graph G.*

(i) *G is a proper 2-color-line graph;*
(ii) *G admits a clique partition $V(G) = A \cup B$ such that each vertex in A has at most two neighbors in B and each vertex in B has at most two neighbors in A;*

(iii) *G is a proper 2-color-line graph of a bipartite graph (in which each component is a path or an even cycle).*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): This implication follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 5: Let $G = CL(H)$ with respect to a proper 2-edge coloring $\phi : E(H) \to \{1, 2\}$, and let $A = \{e \in E(H) \mid \phi(e) = 1\}, B = \{e \in E(H) \mid \phi(e) = 2\}$. Then $V(G) = A \cup B$ is a clique partition of G and the bipartite $G \setminus (E(A) \cup E(B))$ is a line graph, hence (ii) by noticing that a bipartite graph is a line graph if and only if its maximum degree is at most two.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let G admit a clique partition $V(G) = A \cup B$ such that each vertex in A has at most two neighbors in B and each vertex in B has at most two neighbors in A. Then each component of the bipartite graph $G' = G \setminus (E(A) \cup E(B))$ is a path or an even cycle. Hence $G' = L(H')$ for some bipartite graph H' in which each component is a path or an even cycle, and the edge set of H' is partitioned into two matchings corresponding to vertices in A and in B, respectively. Color the edges in one matching with color 1 and the edges in the other matching with color 2, and let H be the obtained properly edge 2-colored bipartite graph. Then, clearly, $G = CL(H)$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): This implication is obvious. □

Since a co-bipartite graph may have many clique bipartitions, Proposition 2 does not immediately yield an efficient recognition for proper 2-color-line graphs. Theorem 9 below gives a good characterization; see Fig. 4 for the graphs F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4.

Observation 2 *None of $K_5 - e, F_1, F_2, F_3$ and F_4 is a proper 2-color-line graph.*

Proof. By case analysis, using Proposition 2 (ii). We note that every proper induced subgraph of $G \in \{K_5 - e, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$ is a proper 2-color-line graph. □

We note that $K_5 - e, F_1, F_2$ are proper 3-color-line graphs.

Theorem 9. *G is a proper 2-color-line graph if and only if G is a $(K_5 - e, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)$-free co-bipartite graph.*

Proof. Necessity: By Observation 2, G is $(K_5 - e, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)$-free and by Proposition 2 (ii) G is co-bipartite.



Fig. 4. Forbidden induced subgraphs for proper 2-color-line graphs.

Sufficiency: Let G be a $(K_5 - e, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4)$-free co-bipartite graph, and let U be the set of all universal vertices of G. Let $A \cup B$ be a clique partition of the co-bipartite graph $G - U$. Then,

for all $v \in A$, $B \setminus N(v) \neq \emptyset$ and, for all $v \in B$, $A \setminus N(v) \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover,

for all $v \in A$, $|N(v) \cap B| \leq 2 - |U|$.

For, otherwise, v and three vertices in $U \cup (N(v) \cap B)$ and a vertex in $B \setminus N(v)$ together would induce a $K_5 - e$. By symmetry,

for all $v \in B$, $|N(v) \cap A| \leq 2 - |U|$.

Thus, if $U = \emptyset$, then the clique bipartition $V(G) = A \cup B$ of G satisfies Proposition 2 (ii), hence G is a proper 2-color-line graph, and we are done.

So, let us assume that $U \neq \emptyset$. Then, for all $v \in A$, $|N(v) \cap B| \leq 1$ and for all $v \in B$, $|N(v) \cap A| \leq 1$. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in A$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in B$ ($r \geq 0$) such that $a_i b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, are the edges between A and B, and let A' be the set of the remaining vertices of A, B' be the set of the remaining vertices of B. Since G is F_4-free, $r \leq 2$.

Case 1: $r = 0$. If $A = A' = \emptyset$ or $B = B' = \emptyset$, then G is a complete graph, hence G is a proper 2-color-line graph. So, we may assume that A and B are not empty. Therefore $|U| \leq 2$ (otherwise, a vertex in A, a vertex in B and three vertices in U would induce a $(K_5 - e)$). Since G is F_1-free, $|A| \leq 2$ or $|B| \leq 2$. Say, $|A| \leq 2$. Then the clique partition of G into A and $B \cup U$ satisfies the condition (ii) in Proposition 2, hence G is a proper 2-color-line graph.

Case 2: $r = 1$. Then $A' = A \setminus N(b_1), B' = B \setminus N(a_1)$, hence $A' \neq \emptyset$ and $B' \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $|U| = 1$ (otherwise, a_1, b_1, a vertex in A' and two vertices in U would induce a $(K_5 - e)$). Since G is F_2-free, $|A'| = 1$

or $|B'| = 1$. Say, $|B'| = 1$. Then the clique partition of G into $A \cup U$ and B satisfies the condition (ii) in Proposition 2, hence G is a proper 2-color-line graph.

Case 3: $r = 2$. Since G is $(K_5 - e)$-free, $|U| = 1$ (otherwise a_1, a_2, b_1 and two vertices in U would induce a $K_5 - e$). Since G is F_3-free, $A' = \emptyset$ or $B' = \emptyset$, say $B' = \emptyset$. Then the clique partition of G into $A \cup U$ and B satisfies the condition (ii) in Proposition 2, hence G is a proper 2-color-line graph. □

As co-bipartite graphs can be tested in linear time, Theorem 9 immediately yield an $O(n^7)$ time algorithm for recognizing if an n-vertex graph is a proper 2-color-line graph, simply by checking all induced subgraphs on five and seven vertices. However, we can recognize proper 2-color-line graphs in linear time by following the steps of the proof of Theorem 9:

1. Check if G is co-bipartite; if not: stop with '`no`', meaning G is not a proper 2-color-line graph.
2. Compute the set U of universal vertices of G, and let (A, B) be any clique bipartition of the co-bipartite graph $G - U$.
3. Let G_1 be the bipartite graph obtained from G by deleting all edges in $A \cup U$ and in B, let G_2 be the bipartite graph obtained from G by deleting all edges in A and in $B \cup U$. (Note that in case $U = \emptyset$, $G_1 = G_2$.)
4. If neither G_1 nor G_2 is a line graph: stop with '`no`'. Otherwise stop with '`yes`', meaning G is a proper 2-color-line graph. (If $G_i = L(H')$ for some $i = 1, 2$, then a properly edge 2-colored graph H with $G = CL(H)$ can be obtained from H' according to the proof of Proposition 2, part (ii) ⇒ (iii).)

Since each of steps 1 – 4 can be performed in linear time, the whole procedure above has linear time complexity. The correctness follows directly from the proof of Theorem 9. Thus, we conclude

Theorem 10. *Given a graph G, it can be decided in linear time if G is a proper 2-color-line graph, and if so, a properly edge 2-colored root graph H for G can be constructed in linear time.*

6 Conclusion

Color-line and proper color-line graphs generalize the classical notion of line graphs. We have given Krausz-type characterizations for color-line and proper color-line graphs. These do not lead to efficient recognition, and the main problem concerning (proper) color line graphs is:

(1) Determine the computational complexity of recognizing (proper) color-line graphs.

A first step in solving (1) is to specify the number k of colors in the (proper) color-line root graph. We have shown that, for any fixed $k \geq 2$, recognizing k-color-line graphs is NP-complete, while recognizing proper k-color-line graphs is polynomially.

(2) Determine the computational complexity of recognizing proper k-color-line graphs, when k is part of the input.

We remark that Algorithm 1 is an XP-algorithm solving (2). It is even unknown if (2) can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot n^{O(1)}$, i.e., if (2) admits an FPT-algorithm with respect to parameter k.

We have found a forbidden induced graph characterization for proper 2-color-line graphs, which allows us to recognize proper 2-color-line in linear time.

(3) Find a forbidden induced subgraph characterization for proper k-color-line graphs, $k \geq 3$.

Note that any line graph with n vertices is a proper n-color-line graph. This leads to the following problem.

(4) Given a line graph G, determine the smallest integer k such that G is a proper k-color-line graph.

A related question is: What is the smallest integer k such that a bridgeless cubic graph on n vertices is a proper k-color-line graph? Recall that $3 \leq k \leq n/2$ (cf. Corollary 1).

References

1. Lowell W. Beineke, Derived graphs and digraphs. In: Beiträge zur Graphentheorie, H. Sachs, H.-J. Voß, H. Walther, Eds., Teubner, Leipzig (1968), pp. 17–33.
2. Maria Chudnovsky, Neil Robertson, Paul Seymour, Robin Thomas, The strong perfect graph theorem, *Annals of Mathematics* 164 (2006) 51–229.
3. Robert L. Hemminger and Lowell W. Beineke, Line graphs and line digraphs, in: L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, eds., *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco (1978), pp 271–305.
4. Mikio Kano and Xueliang Li, Monochromatic and Heterochromatic Subgraphs in Edge-Colored Graphs – A Survey, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 24 (2008) 237–263.
5. J. Krausz, Démonstration nouvelle dune théorème de Whitney sur les réseaux, *Mat. Fit Lapok* 50 (1943) 75–85.

6. Van Bang Le, Florian Pfender, Complexity Results for Rainbow Matchings. *Theoretical Computer Science* 524 (2014) 27–33.
7. Julius Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären Graphen, *Acta Math.* 15 (1891), 193–220.
8. Philippe G.H. Lehot, An Optimal Algorithm to Detect a Line Graph and Output Its Root Graph, *J. ACM* 21 (1974) 569–575.
9. Erich Prisner, Line graphs and generalizations – a survey, in: Surveys in Graph Theory (G. Chartrand, M. Jacobson eds.), *Congressus Numerantium* 116 (1996) 193–230.
10. Erich Prisner, The recognition problem for line bigraphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 268 (2003) 243–256.
11. Nicholas D. Roussopoulos, A $\max\{m, n\}$ algorithm for determining the graph H from its line graph G, *Information Processing Letters* 2 (1973) 108–112.
12. Arnoud Caspar Maria van Rooij, Herbert S. Wilf, The interchange graphs of a finite graph, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 16 (1965) 263–269.
13. Hassler Whitney, Congruent graphs and the connectivity of graphs, *Amer. J. Math.* 54 (1932) 150–168.